1		EFORE THE NTROL HEARINGS BOARD
2		OF WASHINGTON
3	IN THE MATTER OF SEATTLE IRON AND METALS CORP.,	) }
4	Appellant,	PCHB No. 243
5	vs.	) FINDINGS OF FACT,
6	PUGET SOUND AIR POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY,	) CONCLUSIONS AND ORDER )
8	Respondent.	) }
9		<b>'</b>

This matter, the appeal of a \$50.00 civil penalty for an alleged violation of respondent's outdoor burning regulations, came before the Pollution Control Hearings Board (Walt Woodward, hearing officer) in respondent's Seattle offices at 3:00 p.m., February 22, 1973.

Appellant was represented by its operations manager, Irving Sidell; respondent appeared through its counsel, Keith D. McGoffin. Evan Aaron, Seattle court reporter, recorded the proceedings.

Witnesses were sworn and testified. Exhibits were offered and admitted.

On the basis of testimony heard, exhibits examined and a review of the transcript, the Pollution Control Hearings prepared Proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions and Order which were submitted to the appellant and respondent on April 13, 1973. No objections or exceptions to the Proposed Findings, Conclusions and Order having been received, the Pollution Control Hearings Board makes and enters the following:

## FINDINGS OF FACT

I.

Appellant operates a scrap metal plant at 2955 - 11th Avenue Southwest on Harbor Island, Seattle, King County, In dismantling old cars at the plant, cutting torches frequently cause car body fires. The plant has developed a fire fighting system to extinguish these fires, including the lfiting of the burning car with a crane hoist to submerge the car in a large water tank.

II.

In response to a complaint relayed to him by radio at 10:06 a.m., October 26, 1972, an inspector on respondent's staff arrived outside of appellant's plant 24 minutes later and observed smoke from a smoldering car body fire. He issued Notice of Violation No. 6903 to appellant, citing an alleged violation of Section 9.02 of respondent's Regulation I. In connection therewith, Notice of Civil Penalty No. 517 in the amount of \$50.00 subsequently was served on appellant. That penalty is the subject of this appeal.

III.

Section 9.02 of respondent's Regulation I makes it unlawful to cause or allow an outdoor fire for the purpose of salvage or reclamation

27 FINDINGS OF FACT,

CONCLUSIONS AND ORDER

. 3

0

of materials.

າ5

The inspector saw no attempt being made to extinguish the fire and noted this on the violation notice. His line of vision, however, was obstructed by a fence and piles of scrap metal. Appellant, which contends efforts were made to extinguish the fire, was handicapped in this by an inexperienced and slow crane operator, temporarily replacing the regular operator who was ill.

IV.

From these Findings, the Pollution Control Hearings Board comes to these

## CONCLUSIONS

I.

Appellant was in violation of Section 9.02 of respondent's Regulation I on October 26, 1972.

II.

The question is whether appellant was trying to extinguish the fire. To say that it was is not to cast doubt on the inspector's veracity; he may not have been able to see efforts being made to put out the fire.

III.

The \$50.00 penalty, being one-fifth of the maximum allowable amount which may be levied for a violation, is not unreasonable yet more leniency is indicated.

THEREFORE, the Pollution Control Hearings Board makes this

26 FINDINGS OF FACT,

CONCLUSIONS AND ORDER

1	ORDER
2	The appeal is denied, and appellant is directed to pay respondent
3	\$25.00, the balance of \$25.00 to be suspended pending no similar
4	violations for six months from the date of this Order.
5	DONE at Lacey, Washington this 5th day of, 1973.
6	POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD
7	Walt Wandward
8	WALT WOODWARD, Charman
9	in a test of
10	JAMES T. SHEEHY, Member
11	Ill This bery
12	W. A. GISSBERG, Member
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

4

5 T No 5978 A

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS AND ORDER

26